arXiv:1412.6268v1 [cs.CC] 19 Dec 2014

THE SIMPLIFIED WEIGHTED SUM FUNCTION AND ITS AVERAGE SENSITIVITY

JIYOU LI AND CHU LUO

Abstract. In this paper we simplify the definition of the weighted sum Boolean function which used to be inconvenient to compute and use. We show that the new function has essentially the same properties as the previous one. In particular, the bound on the average sensitivity of the weighted sum Boolean function remains unchanged after the simplification.

1. Introduction

In previous study, the weighted sum function has a complicated structure. With a residue ring modulo a prime, the explicit definition of this function can be given using the weighted sum as follows [16]. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and prime number $p \geq m$ where no other prime numbers are between p and m. For vector $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$, let $u(X)$ be the least positive integer which satisfies

$$u(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} kx_k (\text{mod } p), 1 \leq u(X) \leq p.$$

Then the weighted sum function $g(X)$ is defined as

$$g(X) = \begin{cases} x_{u(X)}, & 1 \leq u(X) \leq m; \\ x_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This function was used to study read-once branching programs by P. Savický and S. Žák [16]. It was also used to demonstrate the exponential improvement from conventional read-once branching programs to quantum ones by M. Sauerhoff in [14], see also [15].

To simplify the definition of the previous weighted sum function, we define a new function $f(X)$ as follows. For $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, denote

$$s(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} kx_k (\text{mod } m),$$

and define the new weighted sum function

$$f(X) = x_{s(X)}.$$

It is worth noting that this new function $f(X)$ is more convenient to compute and use than $g(X)$. One particular reason for the prime modulus in the previous function $g(X)$ is that there are nice results and structures in prime fields. In this

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (11001170) and the National Science Foundation of Shanghai Municipal (13ZR1422500).

paper we call such $f(X)$ the simplified weighted sum function. Note that when m is prime then the two definitions are the same.

In this paper it is shown that the simplified function $f(X)$ has many similar properties as the previous one $g(X)$. For instance, in [16] the authors used $g(X)$ to establish the lower bound of read-once branching programs. One of the key ingredients in their proof is that

Theorem 1.1 (Dias da Silva and Hamidoune, [7]). *Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then, for every large enough p and $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|A| > (2+\epsilon)\sqrt{p}$, and for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, there is a subset $B \subseteq A$ such that the sum of the elements of B is equal to b.*

We note that the work of Freeze, Gao and Geroldinger [8] implies the similar result in $\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Theorem 1.2 (Freeze, Gao and Geroldinger, [8]). *Let d be the smallest prime divisor of m. Then, for every $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $|A| > \frac{m}{d} + d - 2$, and for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, there is a subset $B \subseteq A$ such that the sum of the elements of B is equal to b.*

We then determine the average sensitivity of this newly defined function $f(X)$ and show that it also satisfies the Shparlinski's conjecture [19] which says that the average sensitivity of $f(X)$ is asymptotically $m/2$. We introduce the main concepts of this conjecture in the following.

For an input $X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1})$, the sensitivity $\sigma_{s,X}(f)$ on X denotes the number of variables such that flipping one of these variables will shift the value of f. Explicitly,

$$\sigma_{s,X}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left| f(X) - f(X^{(i)}) \right|,$$

where $X^{(i)} = (x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 1 - x_i, x_{i+1} \ldots, x_{m-1})$ is the vector assignment after flipping the i-th coordinate in X. The sensitivity $\sigma_s(f)$ of $f(X)$ denotes the maximum of $\sigma_{s,X}(f)$ on vector X in $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ and the average sensitivity $\sigma_{av}(f)$ is the mean value of sensitivity on every possible input, i.e.,

$$\sigma_{av}(f) = 2^{-m} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left| f(X) - f(X^{(i)}) \right|.$$

Sensitivity, together with a more general concept called block sensitivity, is a useful measure to predict the complexity of Boolean functions. It has recently drawn extensive attention, for instance [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 17, 18, 19]. For a good survey on the main unsolved problems on sensitivity, please refer to [9].

In [19] Shparlinski addressed the average sensitivity problem of the previous weighted sum function $g(X)$ and obtained a lower bound from a nontrivial bound on its Fourier coefficients using exponential sums methods. He also developed several conjectures on the average sensitivity of the weighted sum function and the bounds of the Fourier coefficients. Explicitly, one conjecture was that the average sensitivity of $g(X)$ on m variables is not less than $(\frac{1}{2} + o(1))m$. In the same paper he gave a proof that the average sensitivity is greater than γm, where constant γ satisfies $\gamma \approx 0.0575$.

By applying a new sieving technique, in [10] the first author gave an asymptotic counting formulas of the subset sums over prime fields and thus confirmed the Shparlinski's conjecture on the average sensitivity of the weighted sum function.

In this paper we extend this result for the simplified weighted sum function $f(X)$. That is, for $f(X)$ with m variables, the average sensitivity of $f(X)$ is exactly $(1/2 + o(1))m$.

In addition, we also compute the weight of $f(X)$. We prove that the weight of $f(X)$ on m variables is exactly $2^{m-1}(1 + o(1))$. Thus, $f(X)$ is an asymptotically balanced function.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present a sieve formula. By applying this formula, we give a series of formulas for counting subsets sums over cyclic groups in Section 3. The proof of the main results is given in Section 4. We also list several further questions in Section 5.

Notation. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_k = x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ define the binomial coefficient $\binom{x}{k} = \frac{(x)_k}{k!}$.

2. A distinct coordinate sieving formula

For the purpose of our proof, we briefly introduce a sieving formula discovered by Li-Wan [11], which significantly improves the classical inclusion-exclusion sieving. We cite it here without any proof. For details and related applications, we refer to [11, 12].

Let S_k be the symmetric group on k elements. It is well known that every permutation $\tau \in S_k$ factorizes uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles and each fixed point is viewed as a trivial cycle of length 1. For $\tau \in S_k$, define $\mathrm{sign}(\tau) = (-1)^{k-l(\tau)}$, where $l(\tau)$ is the number of cycles of τ including the trivial cycles.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose X is a finite set of vectors of length k over an alphabet set D. Define $\overline{X} = \{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \in X \mid x_i \neq x_j, \forall i \neq j\}$. Let $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ be a complex valued function defined over X and $F = \sum_{x \in \overline{X}} f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$.. Then*

$$F = \sum_{\tau \in S_k} \mathrm{sign}(\tau) F_\tau, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$X_\tau = \left\{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in X, x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_{a_1}}, \cdots, x_{l_1} = \cdots = x_{l_{a_s}}\right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

for a permutation $\tau = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{a_1})(j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{a_2}) \cdots (l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{a_s})$ with $1 \leq a_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$ and $F_\tau = \sum_{x \in X_\tau} f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$.

Note that the symmetric group S_k acts on D^k naturally by permuting coordinates. That is, for $\tau \in S_k$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in D^k$, $\tau \circ x = (x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\tau(2)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(k)})$. A subset X in D^k is said to be symmetric if for any $x \in X$ and any $\tau \in S_k$, $\tau \circ x \in X$. In particular, if X is symmetric and f is a symmetric function under the action of S_k, we then have the following formula which is simpler than (2.1).

Corollary 2.2. *Let C_k be the set of conjugacy classes of S_k. If X is symmetric and f is symmetric, then*

$$F = \sum_{\tau \in C_k} \mathrm{sign}(\tau) C(\tau) F_\tau, \tag{2.3}$$

where $C(\tau)$ is the number of permutations conjugate to τ.

For the purpose of evaluating the above summation, we need several combinatorial formulas. Recall that a permutation $\tau \in S_k$ is said to be of type $(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k)$ if τ has exactly c_i cycles of length i and that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} ic_i = k$. Let $N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ be the number of permutations in S_k of type $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ and it is well-known that

$$N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) = \frac{k!}{1^{c_1} c_1! 2^{c_2} c_2! \cdots k^{c_k} c_k!}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Define the generating function*

$$C_k(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) = \sum_{\sum ic_i = k} N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) t_1^{c_1} t_2^{c_2} \cdots t_k^{c_k}.$$

If $t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = t_k = q$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(q, q, \ldots, q) &= \sum_{\sum ic_i = k} N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) q^{c_1} q^{c_2} \cdots q^{c_k} \\ &= (q + k - 1)_k. \end{aligned}$$

In another case, if $t_i = q$ for $d \mid i$ and $t_i = s$ for $d \nmid i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(\overbrace{s, \cdots, s}^{d-1}, q, \overbrace{s, \cdots, s}^{d-1}, q, \cdots) &= \sum_{\sum ic_i = k} N(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k) q^{c_1} q^{c_2} \cdots s^{c_d} q^{c_{d+1}} \cdots \\ &= k! \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/d \rfloor} \binom{\frac{q-s}{d} + i - 1}{\frac{q-s}{d} - 1} \binom{s + k - di - 1}{s - 1} \\ &\le k! \binom{s + k + (q-s)/d - 1}{k} \\ &= (s + k + (q-s)/d - 1)_k. \end{aligned}$$

3. Subset Sum Problem in a Subset of the Cyclic Groups

Let $\mathbb{Z}_m$ be the cyclic group of m elements. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ be a nonempty subset of cardinality n. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_m$ be the group of additive characters of $\mathbb{Z}_m$, i.e, all the homomorphisms from $\mathbb{Z}_m$ to the nonzero complex numbers $\mathbb{C}^*$. Note that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_m$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_m$. Define $s_\chi(D) = \sum_{a \in D} \chi(a)$ and $\Phi(D) = \max_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_m, \chi \neq \chi_0} |s_\chi(D)|$. Let $N(k, b, D)$ be the number of k-subsets $T \subseteq D$ such that $\sum_{x \in S} x = b$. In the following theorem we will give an asymptotic bound for $N(k, b, D)$ which ensures $N(k, b, D) > 0$ when $\mathbb{Z}_m - D$ is not too large compared with $\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $N(k, b, D)$ be defined as above.*

$$\left| N(k, b, D) - m^{-1} \binom{n}{k} \right| \le \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{1 < r \le m \\ r \mid m}} \phi(r) \binom{\frac{n + \Phi(D)}{r} + k - 1}{k}, \tag{3.1}$$

where d is the smallest prime divisor of m.

Proof. Let $X = D \times D \times \cdots \times D$ be the Cartesian product of k copies of D. Let $\overline{X} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in D^k \mid x_i \neq x_j,\ \forall i \neq j \right\}$. It is clear that $|X| = n^k$ and $|\overline{X}| = (n)_k$. Applying the orthogonal relation $\sum_{\psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_m} \psi(a) = 0$ for $a \not\equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ m)$ and $\sum_{\psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_m} \psi(a) = m$ for $a \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k!N(k,b,D) &= m^{-1} \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\dots x_k)\in \overline{X}} \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_m} \chi(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_k-b) \\ &= m^{-1}(n)_k + m^{-1} \sum_{\chi\neq\chi_0} \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\cdots x_k)\in\overline{X}} \chi(x_1)\chi(x_2)\cdots\chi(x_k)\chi^{-1}(b) \\ &= m^{-1}(n)_k + m^{-1} \sum_{\chi\neq\chi_0} \chi^{-1}(b) \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\dots x_k)\in\overline{X}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $f_\chi(x) = f_\chi(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \chi(x_i)$. For $\tau\in S_k$, let

$$F_\tau(\chi) = \sum_{x\in X_\tau} f_\chi(x) = \sum_{x\in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi(x_i),$$

where X_τ is defined as in (2.2). Obviously X is symmetric and $f_\chi(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_k)$ is normal on X. Applying (2.3) in Corollary 2.2, we get

$$k!N(k,b,D) = m^{-1}(n)_k + m^{-1} \sum_{\chi\neq\chi_0} \chi^{-1}(b) \sum_{\tau\in C_k} \operatorname{sign}(\tau) C(\tau) F_\tau(\chi),$$

where C_k is the set of conjugacy classes of S_k, $C(\tau)$ is the number of permutations conjugate to τ. If τ is of type $(c_1,c_2,\dots,c_k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\tau(\chi) &= \sum_{x\in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{x\in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{c_1} \chi(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^{c_2} \chi^2(x_{c_1+2i}) \cdots \prod_{i=1}^{c_k} \chi^k(x_{c_1+c_2+\cdots+ki}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\sum_{a\in D} \chi^i(a))^{c_i} \\ &= n^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)} s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_i(\chi) = 1$ if $\chi^i = 1$ and otherwise $m_i(\chi) = 0$.

Now suppose $\operatorname{order}(\chi) = r$ with $d \le r \mid m$. Note that $C(\tau) = N(c_1,c_2,\dots,c_k)$ and by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\tau\in C_k} \operatorname{sign}(\tau) C(\tau) F_\tau(\chi) \\ &\le \sum_{\tau\in C_k} C(\tau) n^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)} \Phi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))} \\ &\le k! \binom{\frac{n+\Phi(D)}{r} + k - 1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\operatorname{order}(\chi)$ is greater than k, then

$$\sum_{\tau\in C_k} \operatorname{sign}(\tau) C(\tau) F_\tau(\chi) \le k! \binom{\Phi(D)+k-1}{k}.$$

Note that there are $\phi(r)$ characters of order r. Summing over all nontrivial characters, we obtain

$$\left|N(k,b,D)-m^{-1}\binom{n}{k}\right| \le \frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{1<r\le m\\ r|m}}\phi(r)\binom{\frac{n+\Phi(D)}{r}+k-1}{k},$$

where $\phi(r)$ is the Euler function. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.2. *We have*

$$\left|N(k,b,D)-m^{-1}\binom{n}{k}\right| \le \binom{\frac{n+\Phi(D)}{d}+k-1}{k},$$

where d is the minimum prime divisor of m.

Corollary 3.3. *If $|D| = m - c$ and c is a positive constant, noting that $\Phi(D) \le c$ we have*

$$\left|N(k,b,D)-m^{-1}\binom{m-c}{k}\right| \le \binom{\frac{m}{d}+k-1}{k},$$

where d is the minimum prime divisor of m.

A simple combinatorial arguments on sums of binomial coefficients gives

Corollary 3.4. *Let $n = m - o(m)$. Let $N(b,D) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} N(k,b,D)$ be the number of subsets in D which sums to b. Then $N(b,D) = \frac{2^n}{m}(1+o(1))$.*

4. Average sensitivity

In [10], the weight and the average sensitivity of $g(X)$ are computed. We now generalize these results to the simplified function $f(X)$. We first compute the weight of $f(X)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f(X)$ be defined as above. Then we have*

$$wt(f) = 2^{m-1}(1+o(1)).$$

In other words, $f(X)$ is an asymptotically balanced function.

Proof. By applying Corollary 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} wt(f(X)) = \sum_{X\in Z_2^m} f(X) &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\sum_{X\in Z_2^m, s(X)=s, x_s=1} 1 \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} N(0,\mathbb{Z}_m\backslash\{s\}) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\frac{1}{m}2^{m-1}(1+o(1)) \\ &= 2^{m-1}(1+o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

□

In [19] Shparlinski studied $\sigma_{av}(g(X))$ and raised the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.2. *Is it true that for the function given by (1) we have*

$$\sigma_{av}(g(X)) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2}+o(1)\right)m?$$

In the same paper Shparlinski gave a lower bound by obtaining a nontrivial bound on the Fourier coefficients of $g(X)$ via analytical methods. He proved in the same paper that this value is greater than γm, where $\gamma \approx 0.0575$ is a constant. Li [10] solved this conjecture.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\sigma_{av}(g)$ be the average sensitivity of the previous weighted sum function $g(X)$. Then*

$$\sigma_{av}(g(X)) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) m.$$

Here we prove that this conjecture still holds for $f(X)$:

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\sigma_{av}(f)$ be the average sensitivity of the simplified weighted sum function $f(X)$. Then*

$$\sigma_{av}(f(X)) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) m.$$

Proof. Since we have the symmetry between the bits 1 and 0, for simplicity we just need to consider the number of bit changes from 0 to 1. Thus by Corollary 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{m-1}\sigma_{av}(f(X)) &= \sum_{X\in\mathbb{Z}_2^m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left|f(X) - f(X^{(i)})\right| \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\sum_{X\in\mathbb{Z}_2^m, s(X)=s, x_s=1}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left|1 - f(X^{(i)})\right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{s\in D}\sum_{X\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n, s(X)=s, x_s=0}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left|0 - f(X^{(i)})\right| \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\sum_{X\in\mathbb{Z}_2^m, s(X)=s, x_i=0, x_s=1, x_{s+i}=0} 1 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{s=0}^{m}\sum_{X\in\mathbb{Z}_2^m, s(X)=s, x_i=0, x_s=1, x_{s+i}=1} 1 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} N(0, \mathbb{Z}_m\backslash\{i, s+i, s\}) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} N(0, \mathbb{Z}_m\backslash\{i, s-i, s\}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\frac{2^{m-2}}{m}(1+o(1)) \\
&= m2^{m-2}(1+o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\sigma_{av}(f(X)) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) m. \quad \square$$

5. Further questions

In [19] Shparlinski studied the Fourier coefficients of the weighted sum function.

TABLE 1. The relationship between the variable number m and the maximal Fourier coefficients of $f(X)$ over $0 < m < 22$. Decimals are rounded to three decimal places.

m	$\max\|\widehat{f}(a)\|$	$m^{-1}log_2 \max\|\widehat{f}(a)\|$
1	1	0
2	0.5	-0.5
3	0.5	-0.333
4	0.75	-0.104
5	0.75	-0.083
6	0.438	-0.199
7	0.469	-0.156
8	0.281	-0.229
9	0.305	-0.191
10	0.227	-0.214
11	0.146	-0.252
12	0.209	-0.188
13	0.093	-0.264
14	0.086	-0.253
15	0.159	-0.177
16	0.067	-0.244
17	0.059	-0.240
18	0.119	-0.171
19	0.053	-0.224
20	0.050	-0.216
21	0.089	-0.166

Definition 5.1. *Let $h(X)$ be a Boolean function from $\{0,1\}^m$ to $\{0,1\}$. The Fourier coefficient of $h(X)$ at a is defined by*

$$\widehat{h}(a) = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{X \in \{0,1\}^m} (-1)^{h(X)+a\cdot X}.$$

Shparlinski raised the following conjecture which is stronger than his conjecture on the average sensitivity.

Conjecture 5.2 (Shparlinski, [19])**.** *For the previous weighted sum function $g(X)$, we have*

$$\max|\widehat{g}(a)| = 2^{(-\frac{1}{2}+o(1))m}.$$

Shparlinski proved in [19] that

$$\max|\widehat{g}(a)| \le 2^{(-\rho+o(1))m},$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{4}{\pi \ln 2}\mathcal{L}(\frac{\pi}{4}), \quad \mathcal{L}(x) = -\int_0^x \ln\cos\theta d\theta.$$

Note that $\rho \approx 0.1587$. Currently this is still the best result.

We compute the value of $\max|\widehat{f}(a)|$ over $0 < m < 22$ using a computer program. The results are shown in Table 1. Note again that when m is prime then $f(X) =$

$g(X)$. The experimental results indicate that Shparlinski's conjecture may not be true. It will be amazing to obtain the true bounds on both $\max|\widehat{g}(a)|$ and $\max|\widehat{f}(a)|$.

Instead of the Shparlinski's conjecture, we propose a new conjecture:

Conjecture 5.3. *For the newly defined weighted sum function $f(X)$, we have*

$$\max|\widehat{f}(a)| = 2^{(-\rho+o(1))m}.$$

References

[1] K. Amano, *Tight bounds on the average sensitivity of k-CNF*, Theory Comput. 7 (2011), 45–48.

[2] A. Bernasconi, *Sensitivity vs. block sensitivity (an average-case study)*, Information Processing Letters, 59 (1996), 151–157.

[3] A. Bernasconi, C. Damm and I. Shparlinski, *The average sensitivity of square-freeness*, Comput. Complexity 9 (2000), 39–51.

[4] R. B. Boppana, *The average sensitivity of bounded-depth circuits*, Information Processing Letters, 63 (1997), 257–261.

[5] H. Buhrman and R. de Wolf, *Complexity measures and decision tree complexity: a survey*, Complexity and logic (Vienna, 1998), Theoret. Comput. Sci. 288 (2002), 21–43.

[6] D. Canright, S. Gangopadhyay, S. Maitra and P. Stanica, *Laced Boolean functions and subset sum problems in finite fields*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 159 (2011), 1059–1069.

[7] J.A. Dias da Silva and Y.O. Hamidoune, *Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 26 (1994),140–146.

[8] M. Freeze, W.D. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *The critical number of finite abelian groups.* J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 2766C2777.

[9] P. Hatami, R. Kulkarni and D. Pankratov, *Variations on the Sensitivity Conjecture*, Theory of Computing Library Graduate Surveys, 4 (2011), 1C27.

[10] J. Li, *On the average sensitivity of the weighted sum function*, Information Processing Letters 112 (2012), 143–148.

[11] J. Li and D. Wan, *A new sieve for distinct coordinate counting*, Science in China Series A, 53 (2010), 2351–2362.

[12] J. Li and D. Wan, *Counting subsets of finite Ablelian groups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 19 (2012),170–182.

[13] D. Rubinstein, *Sensitivity vs. block sensitivity of Boolean functions*, Combinatorica 15 (1995), 297–299.

[14] M. Sauerhoff, *Randomness versus nondeterminism for read-once and read-k branching programs*, STACS 2003, 307–318, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 2607, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[15] M. Sauerhoff and D. Sieling, *Quantum branching programs and space-bounded nonuniform quantum complexity*, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 334 (2005), 177–225.

[16] P. Savicky and S. Zak, *A read-once lower bound and a $(1,+k)$-hierarchy for branching programs*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 238 (2000), 347–362.

[17] D. Scheder and L.Y. Tan, *On the average sensitivity and density of k-CNF formulas*, Approximation, randomization, and combinatorial optimization, 683C698, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 8096, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[18] Y. Shi, *Lower bounds of quantum black-box complexity and degree of approximating polynomials by influence of Boolean variables*, Information Processing Letters, 75 (2000), 79–83.

[19] Igor. E. Shparlinski, *Bounds on the Fourier coefficients of the weighted sum function*, Inform. Process. Lett. 103 (2007), 83–87.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, P.R. China
E-mail address: lijiyou@sjtu.edu.cn

Department of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
E-mail address: cl7e13@soton.ac.uk